



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/653,294 05/24/1996		CAROL CLAYBERGER	286002020023 5995		
25225	7590 12/03/2003		EXAMINER		
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			DIBRINO, MARIANNE NMN		
3811 VALLEY CENTRE DRIVE SUITE 500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN DIEGO,	CA 92130-2332		1644		
			DATE MAILED: 12/03/2003	65	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	7	Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		.08/653,29	4	CLAYBERGER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Examiner Art Unit					
		DiBrino M	arianne	1644	y - 1 K			
P riod fo	The MAILING DATE of this communion Reply	cation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any rearne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commuperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply veply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve unication.) days, a reply within the statu tutory period will apply and will will, by statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	<i>i.</i> mmunication.			
Status 1)⊠	Pasnansiva to communication(s) files	d on 02 Sontambor 2	002 and 02 luna 2001	5				
·=								
<i>'</i> —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,—	closed in accordance with the practic				merits is			
Dispositi	on of Claims							
	Claim(s) <u>2-4,12,13,15-21 and 27</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
· -	Claim(s) <u>15 and 17</u> is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>2-4,12,13,18-21 and 27</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) <u>16</u> is/are objected to.	:						
	Claim(s) are subject to restrict on Papers	ion and/or election re	equirement.					
_	·							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
اسارها	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	by the Examinor, 140		Action of formal a	0-102.			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f All b) Some * c) None of:	for foreign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	 Certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation 	locuments have beer of the priority docume	n received in Application onts have been receive	on No d in this National	Stage			
13)∐ A si 37	see the attached detailed Office action acknowledgment is made of a claim for nce a specific reference was included 7 CFR 1.78.	for a list of the certificer of the certificer of the certificer of the first sentence	ed copies not received der 35 U.S.C. § 119(e of the specification or) (to a provisional in an Application	application) Data Sheet.			
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 								
Attachment	(s)							
2) D Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap	O-948)	4) Interview Summary (5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: .					

Application/Control Number: 08/653,294

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on 9/2/03, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is hereby set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

- 2. Applicant's amendment filed 6/2/03 (Paper No. 59 is acknowledged and has been entered.
- 3. Claims 2-4, 12, 13 and 15-21 and 27 are pending.

Claims 2-4, 12, 13, 15-21 and 27 are presently being examined.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2-4, 12, 13, 18-21 and 27 are rejected under 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 88/05784 in view of Wong et al (Human Immunology 1992, 35/3, 200-208), U.S. Patent No. 5,073,540 and U.S. Patent No. 5,478,925.

WO 88/05784 teaches peptides which are cross reactive with portions of the $\alpha 1$ or $\alpha 2$ domains of MHC class I, with the sequence of those of the instant claims (especially claim 1 and abstract). WO 88/05784 also teaches modification of such peptides using conventional techniques to extend their biological half lives (especially pages 21-23). Page 10 of the instant application discloses such conventional techniques. WO 88/05784 explicitly teaches use of such peptides for prolonging graft survival time by reducing rejection caused by CTL. WO 88/05784 teaches using the said peptides linked to other peptides or proteins of interest when

WO 88/05784 does not teach dimerization of the peptides.

Wong et al teaches foci of TCR receptor aggregation upon binding to MHC class I molecules.

Art Unit: 1644

Patent No. 5,073,540 discloses peptides useful as antagonists or agonists for membrane receptors, one portion comprising the same structure as the peptides of the instant application (especially columns 7 and 8). Patent No. 5,073,540 further discloses that oligopeptides may be employed that are capable of mimicking the site of the Class I antigen associated with binding to the receptor, thus substituting for the class I antigen (especially paragraph spanning columns 4 and 5).

U.S. Patent No. 5,478,925 discloses receptors that exist in aggregated form when exposed to ligand. U.S. Patent No. 5,478,925 further discloses binding proteins that are identical to the extra-cellular domains of the said receptors that compete for binding and that the monomers must be administered in very high doses in order to result in effective inhibition of binding when administered to humans. U.S. Patent No. 5,478,925 discloses that multimers of the proteins are more effective in inhibiting activity at lower doses, since they can effectively compete for binding sites on the aggregates of the cell surface receptors. (especially columns 1, 2 and 3).

It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the prior art peptides taught by WO 88/05784 as multimers, i.e., at least dimers, as is taught by U.S. Patent No. 5,478,925 for other receptor mimicking and inhibiting polypeptides, and to test functional activity on surface receptors or lymphocyte activity of the modified peptides using the assays taught by WO 88/05784 (especially page 25), and to use the said multimeric peptides in the method of inhibiting graft rejection taught by WO 88/05784 for prolonging graft survival time by reducing rejection caused by CTL which comprise TCR that aggregate upon binding to MHC class I molecules as taught by Wong et al.

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to do this in order to stimulate or inhibit membrane receptors as taught by WO 88/05784 and as disclosed by Patent No. 5,073,540 and/or to prolong graft survival time as taught by WO 88/05784 because Wong et al teaches that TCR on CTL aggregate upon binding class I MHC and U.S. Patent No. 5,478,925 discloses that multimers are more effective in inhibiting activity at lower doses since they can effectively compete for binding sites on the aggregates of cell surface receptors and because one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have expected the dimmers of the same unit to exert the same functional effects as a monomer.

Application/Control Number: 08/653,294

Art Unit: 1644

6. Claims 2-4, 12, 13, 18-21 and 27 are rejected under 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 88/05784 in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,419,931.

Page 4

WO 88/05784 teaches peptides which are cross reactive with portions of the $\alpha 1$ or $\alpha 2$ domains of MHC class I, with the sequence of those of the instant claims (especially claim 1 and abstract). WO 88/05784 also teaches modification of such peptides using conventional techniques to extend their biological half lives (especially pages 21-23). Page 10 of the instant application discloses such conventional techniques. WO 88/05784 explicitly teaches use of such peptides for prolonging graft survival time by reducing rejection caused by CTL. WO 88/05784 teaches using the said peptides linked to other peptides or proteins of interest when

WO 88/05784 does not teach dimerization of the peptides.

U.S. Patent No. 6,419,931 discloses that peptides that modulate CTL can be combined to form multimers and that the same peptide can be linked to itself to form a homopolymer, i.e., a dimer (especially column 17 at lines 4-38-43).

It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the prior art peptides taught by WO 88/05784 as dimers as disclosed by taught by U.S. Patent No. 6,419,931, and to test functional activity on surface receptors or lymphocyte activity of the modified peptides using the assays taught by WO 88/05784 (especially page 25), and to use the said multimeric peptides in the method of inhibiting graft rejection taught by WO 88/05784 for prolonging graft survival time.

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to do this in order to stimulate or inhibit membrane receptors as taught by WO 88/05784 and to prolong graft survival time as taught by WO 88/05784 because U.S. Patent No. 6,419,931 discloses that peptides that modulate CTL can be combined to form multimers and that the same peptide can be linked to itself to form a homopolymer, i.e., a dimmer, and one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have expected the dimmers of the same unit to exert the same functional effects as a monomer.

- 7. Claim 16 is objected to for depending upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claims 15 and 17 are allowable.

Art Unit: 1644

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Marianne DiBrino whose telephone number is 703-308-0061 (after 1/7/04 the telephone number is 571-272-0842). The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday and Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan, can be reached on (703) 308-3973. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 (before final) or 703-872-9307 (after final).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Marianne DiBrino, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

Group 1640

Technology Center 1600 November 28, 2003

CHRISTINA CHAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600